

www.buzsakilab.com



Buzsaki, Stark, Berenyi, Khodagholy, Kipke, Yoon, Wise Neuron (2015)

Lognormal distribution of SPW-R durations



Antonio Fernandez-Ruiz



Azahara Oliva



SPW-Rs are longer in novel environments and memory tasks







Fernandez-Ruiz, Oliva, Oliveira, Rocha-Almeida, Tingley, Buzsaki, Science, 2019



Optogenetic prolongation of CA1 ripples



AAV-CaMkII-ChR2 injections in dorsal CA1 of rats



Fernandez-Ruiz, Oliva, Oliveira, Rocha-Almeida, Tingley, Buzsaki, Science 2019

Closed-loop ripple prolongation



Fernandez-Ruiz, Oliva, Oliveira, Rocha-Almeida, Tingley, Buzsaki, Science 2019

Memory task design



Inbound component:

Outbound component:

- "Reference" memory
- History independent
- "Working" memory
- History dependent

Prolongation of ripples improves memory



Truncating ripples deteriorates memory



Replicates previous report with closed-loop electrical disruption of SWRs (Jadhav et al., Science, 2012)

Optogenetic stimulation prolong ongoing place cell sequences









Hierarchy of crossfrequency phase coupling allows inter-regional transfer of information





Sirota, Csicsvari, Buhl, Buzsaki PNAS 2003

Boosting slow oscillations by transcranial electric stimulatioon during sleep potentiates memory



Marshall, Helgadóttir, Mölle Born Nature 2006

Diffuse spatial methods Transcranial electrical stimulation, TES; Transcranial magnetic stimulation, TMS; Transcranial ultrasound stimulation, TUS; Transcranial radio frequency stimulation



Neuronal mechanisms of TES



mechanisms

Liu, Vöröslakos,.... Buzsáki Nature Communications 2018

#3 Temporal bias of spikes by TES (in vivo > 1 V/m)



Ozen, Sirota, Belluscio, Anastassiou, Stark, Koch, Buzsaki **J Neuroscience** 2010

#4 Network entrainment



#5 Imposed pattern (closed loop seizure control by TES; rat)



TES (tACS) in patients with intracranial electrodes



TES (tACS) fails to entrain cortical rhythms



Lafon, Henin, Huang, Friedman, Melloni, Thesen, Doyle, Buzsaki, Devinsky, Parra, Liu **Nat Comm** 2017

Intracellular responses to transcranial stimulation



Transcutaneous vs transcranial stimulation (~5-fold difference)



and transcranial electric fields (mV/mm)





Transcutaneous vs transcranial stimulation in human cadavers





Intersectional short pulse (ISP) stimulation induces intracranial neuronal effects



Intersectional short pulse **(ISP)** stimulation in human subjects Reduced scalp effects, more intracerebral current delivery



Transcranial electrical stimulation (TES)

(i) Works! - under appropriate conditions

(ii) Intersectional pulse stimulation allows focused stimulation (increased brain/scalp current ratio)

 (iii) ~ 1 mV/mm voltage gradient is needed to entrain spikes and affect LFP (>4.5 mA scalp stimulation)

 (iv) Our results do not contradict the efficacy of TES by non-network-mediated mechanisms at lower stimulus intensities

Non-invasive RF stimulation of neurons





Non-invasive RF stimulation of neurons



Yaghmazadeh, Vöröslakos, Buzsáki SFN 2018

